# STANDARDS AND INFORMATION DOCUMENTS

Call for comment on DRAFT AES67-xxxx AES standard for audio applications of networks -High-performance streaming audio-over-IP interoperability

This document was developed by a writing group of the Audio Engineering Society Standards Committee (AESSC) and has been prepared for comment according to AES policies and procedures. It has been brought to the attention of International Electrotechnical Commission Technical Committee 100. Existing international standards relating to the subject of this document were used and referenced throughout its development.

Address comments by E-mail to standards@aes.org, or by mail to the AESSC Secretariat, Audio Engineering Society, 60 E 42nd St., New York NY 10165. **Only comments so addressed will be considered**. E-mail is preferred. **Comments that suggest changes must include proposed wording.** Comments shall be restricted to this document only. Send comments to other documents separately. Recipients of this document are invited to submit, with their comments, notification of any relevant patent rights of which they are aware and to provide supporting documentation.

This document will be approved by the AES after any adverse comment received within six weeks of the publication of this call on http://www.aes.org/standards/comments, **2013-07-29**, has been resolved. Any person receiving this call first through the *JAES* distribution may inform the Secretariat immediately of an intention to comment within a month of this distribution.

Because this document is a draft and is subject to change, no portion of it shall be quoted in any publication without the written permission of the AES, and all published references to it must include a prominent warning that the draft will be changed and must not be used as a standard.

### DRAFT FOR COMMENT ONLY - DRAFT FOR COMMENT ONLY

### DRAFT FOR COMMENT ONLY - DRAFT FOR COMMENT ONLY

Secretariat 2013/07/29 14:05 DRAFT AES67-xxxx

[This page intentionally blank]

### **DRAFT FOR COMMENT ONLY - DRAFT FOR COMMENT ONLY**

### AES67-XXXX Draft produced in project AES-X192 aes67-xxxx-130729-cfc

## Call for Comment on DRAFT AES standard for audio applications of networks -High-performance streaming audio-over-IP interoperability

Published by Audio Engineering Society, Inc. Copyright ©2013 by the Audio Engineering Society

#### Abstract

High-performance media networks support professional quality audio (16 bit, 44,1 kHz and higher) with low latencies (less than 10 milliseconds) compatible with live sound reinforcement. The level of network performance required to meet these requirements is available on local-area networks and is achievable on enterprise-scale networks. A number of networked audio systems have been developed to support high-performance media networking but until now there were no recommendations for operating these systems in an interoperable manner. This standard provides comprehensive interoperability recommendations in the areas of synchronization, media clock identification, network transport, encoding and streaming, session description and connection management.

An AES standard implies a consensus of those directly and materially affected by its scope and provisions and is intended as a guide to aid the manufacturer, the consumer, and the general public. The existence of an AES standard does not in any respect preclude anyone, whether or not he or she has approved the document, from manufacturing, marketing, purchasing, or using products, processes, or procedures not in agreement with the standard. Prior to approval, all parties were provided opportunities to comment or object to any provision. Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this AES standard or information document may be the subject of patent rights. AES shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patents. Approval does not assume any liability to any patent owner, nor does it assume any obligation whatever to parties adopting the standards document. This document is subject to periodic review and users are cautioned to obtain the latest edition. Recipients of this document are invited to submit, with their comments, notification of any relevant patent rights of which they are aware and to provide supporting documentation.

Audio Engineering Society Inc. 60 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street, New York, NY., US. www.aes.org/standards standards@aes.org

AES STANDARDS: - DRAFT FOR COMMENT ONLY

aes67-xxxx-130729-cfc

#### Contents

0 Introduction	.5
1 Scope	.5
2 Normative references	.5
3 Definitions and abbreviations	.6
4 Synchronization 4.0 General 4.1 IP network synchronization 4.2 IEEE 1588 network synchronization 4.3 AVB network synchronization	<b>12</b> 12 12 12 12
5 Media clocks	12
6 Transport 6.0 General 6.1 Network layer 6.2 Quality of service 6.3 Transport layer 7 Encoding and streaming	<b>13</b> 13 13 14 15
7 Encoding and streaming         7.0 Introduction         7.1 Payload format and sampling rate         7.2 Packet time         7.2.1 Required packet time         7.2.2 Recommended packet times         7.3 Stream channel count         7.4 Link offset         7.5 Sender timing and receiver buffering         7.6 Multicasting	16 16 17 17 17 18 19 19 20
8 Session description       8         8.0 General       8         8.1 Packet time       8         8.2 Clock source       8         8.3 RTP and media clock       8         8.4 Payload types       8         8.5 Example descriptions       8         8.5.1 Multicast session description example       8         8.5.2 Unicast session description example       8	20 20 21 22 22 23 23 23
9 Discovery	23
10 Connection management       10.0 General         10.1 Unicast connections       10.1 Unicast connections         10.1.1 SIP URI       10.1.2 Server and serverless modes         10.1.3 User-Agent       10.1.4 Format negotiation         10.1.5 Packet time negotiation       10.1.5 Packet time negotiation	23 24 24 24 24 24 25 25 25

Page 2 of 41

#### aes67-xxxx-130729-cfc

Annex A (Normative) - Media profile	26
A.0 General	26
A.1 Media profile description	.26
A.2 Media profile	26
A.2.1 Identification	26
A.2.2 PTP attribute values	26
A.2.3 PTP options	29
A.2.4 Clock physical requirements	29
Annex B (Informative) - Network QoS configuration recommendations	.30
B.0 General	30
B.1 DiffServ network configuration	30
B.1.1 Clock	30
B.1.2 Media	.31
B.1.3 Best effort	32
Annex C (Informative) – AVB network transport	.33
C.0 General	33
C.1 AVB network transport	33
C.1.1 Interoperable media as AVB time-sensitive streams	33
C.1.2 Interoperable media as other traffic	34
Annex D (Informative) - Interfacing to IEEE 802.1AS clock domains	.36
D.0 General	.36
D.1 Boundary clock interface	36
D.2 Ordinary clock interface	.36
D.3 Traceable reference	36
D.4 AVB network as a boundary clock	37
Annex F (Informative) - Discovery systems	38
F 0 General	38
E 1 Boniour	38
E.2 SAP	.38
E.3 Axia Discovery Protocol	.38
E.4 Wheatstone WheatnetIP Discovery Protocol	38
Annex F (Informative) - Informative references	39
Annex G (Informative) - Glossary	40

#### Foreword

This foreword is not part of the AES67-xxxx AES standard for audio applications of networks - Highperformance streaming audio-over-IP interoperability

This document was developed in project AES-X192, in the SC-02-12-H task group on high-performance streaming audio-over-IP interoperability, under the leadership of Kevin Gross.

Members of the writing group that contributed to this document in draft are: R. Abraham, J. Amate, M. Barbour, C. Becker-Foss, J. Berryman, M. Bishop, H. Blum, J. Boqvist, T. Borland, N. Borthwick, L. Bradshaw, P. Briscoe, L. Brito, J. Britton, C. Broad, N. Brunsgaard, D. Brutzman, E. Bukont Jr., A. Calvanese, R. Camprodon, M. Carter, A. Cedronius, A. Clark, H. Clarke, M. Coinchon, P. Cyrta, S. de Jaham, P. Demuytere, J. Dibley, A. Dickens, P. Dietrich, C. Dodds, S. Dove, A. Eales, E. Echols, R. Economaki, T. Edwards, A. Elder, L. Ellison, K. Fitzke, J. Fletcher, S. Flock, R. Foss, P. Foulkes, F. Gierlinger, R. Goforth, J. Grant, M. Graubner, D. Gravereaux, S. Gray, H. Hansen, B. Harshbarger, S. Heinzmann, A. Hildebrand, D. Hoch, M. Holtmann, Os. Igumbor, H. Jahne, T. Johansson, M. J. Teener, S. Johnson, L. Jonsson, A. Karamustafaoglu, K. Kearney, P. Keller, J. Koftinoff, P. Koftinoff, D. Koss, S. Langhans, M. Lave, D. Lazecko, S. Ledergerber, C. Lefebvre, J. Lindsay, G. Linis, S. Loehberg, A. Louko, A. Makivirta, J. A. Martinez, A. Mayo, W. McQuay, C. Merienne, A. Metz, J. Meunier, J. Meyer, R. Michl, S. Millan, D. O'Gwynn, N. O'Neill, H. Okai-Tettey, K. Parker, J. Passaniti, J. Peavey, J. Perez, W. Peters, S. Price, S. Pro, M. Quaix, F. Ragenard, R. Rayburn, D. Rice, T. Rohwedder, G. Rosenboom, M. Saito, M. S. Carreres, J. Sauter, R. Schoonbroodt, V. Schueppel, P. Schwizer, S. Scott, G. Shay, T.Shuttleworth, D. Silver, J. Simpson, M. Sims, A. Smimite, J. Snow, T. Staros, P. Stevens, J. M. Strawn, N. Sturmel, M. Szlapka, T. Thompson, P. Treleaven, A. Trevena, S. Turner, R. van der Zalm, B. van Kempen, I. Vysick, J. P. Waddell, Y. Wang, P. Warrington, H. Weibel, A. Williams, M. Willsher, A. Witham, J. Wood, J. A. Yeary, J. Yoshio, P. Yurt, U. Zanghieri, D. Zimmermann, R. Zwiebel.

This document was edited by Kevin Gross.

Richard Foss Chair, working group SC-02-12 2013-07-18

#### Note on normative language

In AES standards documents, sentences containing the word "shall" are requirements for compliance with the document. Sentences containing the verb "should" are strong suggestions (recommendations). Sentences giving permission use the verb "may". Sentences expressing a possibility use the verb "can".

### **AES STANDARDS: - DRAFT FOR COMMENT ONLY**

## Call for Comment on DRAFT AES standard for audio applications of networks -High-performance streaming audio-over-IP interoperability

### 0 Introduction

High-performance media networks support professional quality audio (16 bit, 44,1 kHz and higher) with low latencies (less than 10 ms) compatible with live sound reinforcement. The level of network performance required to meet these requirements is available on local-area networks and is achievable on enterprise-scale networks but is generally not available on wide-area networks or the public internet.

The most recent generation of these media networks use a diversity of proprietary and standard protocols. Despite a common basis in Internet Protocol, the systems do not interoperate.

This standard provides specific recommendations for interoperability. The standard focuses on defining how existing protocols are used to create an interoperable system. No new protocols have been developed to achieve this.

The standard is expected to be useful for commercial audio applications including fixed and touring live sound reinforcement. It is also expected to be useful for distribution within broadcast, music production and post-production facilities.

### 1 Scope

This standard defines an interoperability mode for transport of high-performance audio over networks based on the Internet Protocol. For the purposes of the standard, high-performance audio refers to audio with full bandwidth and low noise. These requirements imply linear PCM coding with a sampling frequency of 44,1 kHz and higher and resolution of 16 bits and higher. High performance also implies a low-latency capability compatible with live sound applications. The standard considers latency performance of 10 milliseconds or less.

### 2 Normative references

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

**AES11** - *AES recommended practice for digital audio engineering - Synchronization of digital audio equipment in studio operations*; Audio Engineering Society, New York, NY., US.

**IEEE 1588-2008** - *IEEE Standard for a Precision Clock Synchronization Protocol for Networked Measurement and Control Systems*, July 2008, Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE), US.

RFC 768 - User Datagram Protocol", Internet Engineering Task Force

RFC 791 – Internet Protocol, Internet Engineering Task Force

RFC 1112 - Host Extensions for IP Multicasting, Internet Engineering Task Force

aes67-xxxx-130729-cfc

RFC 2236 - Internet Group Management Protocol, Version 2, Internet Engineering Task Force

**RFC 2474** – *Definition of the Differentiated Services Field (DS Field) in the IPv4 and IPv6 Headers*, Internet Engineering Task Force

RFC 2616 - Hypertext Transfer Protocol - HTTP/1.1, Internet Engineering Task Force

RFC 2974 - Session Announcement Protocol, Internet Engineering Task Force

**RFC 3190** – *RTP Payload Format for 12-bit DAT Audio and 20- and 24-bit Linear Sampled Audio*, Internet Engineering Task Force

RFC 3261 - SIP: Session Initiation Protocol, Internet Engineering Task Force

**RFC 3264** - An Offer/Answer Model with the Session Description Protocol (SDP), Internet Engineering Task Force

RFC 3376 - Internet Group Management Protocol, Version 3, Internet Engineering Task Force

RFC 3550 - RTP: A Transport Protocol for Real-Time Applications, Internet Engineering Task Force

**RFC 3551** - *RTP Profile for Audio and Video Conferences with Minimal Control*, Internet Engineering Task Force

RFC 4566 - Session Description Protocol, Internet Engineering Task Force

draft-ietf-avtcore-clksrc - RTP Clock Source Signalling (work in progress), Internet Engineering Task Force

### **3 Definitions and abbreviations**

For the purposes of this document, the following terms, definitions, and abbreviations apply.

**3.1 Audio stream** See RTP stream.

3.2 Audio Video Bridging AVB

describes enhanced Ethernet networks specified in IEEE 802.1BA, IEEE 802.1Q-2011 and IEEE 802.1AS.

3.3

#### **Boundary Clock**

A clock that has multiple Precision Time Protocol (PTP) ports in a domain and maintains the timescale used in the domain. It may serve as the source of time, that is, be a master clock; and may synchronize to another clock, that is, be a slave clock. See IEEE 1588-2008.

#### 3.4

Byte

A unit comprising 8 bits of data. Over IP networks, data is transported in units of bytes.

3.5

aes67-xxxx-130729-cfc

#### **Digital Audio Reference Signal**

#### DARS

an audio clock signal defined in AES11.

### 3.6

#### CSRC

The contributing source (CSRC) is the source of a stream of RTP packets that has contributed to the combined stream produced by an RTP mixer

#### 3.7

#### DiffServ

Differentiated services (DiffServ) is a system for classifying traffic and providing quality of service (QoS) on an IP network.

### 3.8

#### DSCP

The differentiated services code point (DSCP) is a 6-bit field in the IP packet header that is used for classification purposes. DSCP is part of the differentiated services architecture.

#### 3.9

#### **End-to-end Transparent Clock**

A transparent clock that supports the use of the end-to-end delay measurement mechanism between slave clocks and the master clock. See IEEE 1588-2008.

#### 3.10

#### Ethernet

Ethernet is a physical and data link layer family of computer networking technologies for local area networks (LANs). Ethernet uses a bus or star topology and supports data transfer rates from 10 Mbps, through 100 Mbs (Fast Ethernet) and onto Gigabit Ethernet, supporting data rates of 1 gigabit (1,000 megabits) per second.

#### 3.11

#### EUI-64

A 64-bit globally unique identifier formed by combining a registered 24 or 36-bit company identifier and a company unique device identifier. The EUI-64 is similar to the EUI-48 which is used to assign Ethernet media access control (MAC) addresses.

### 3.12

### Grandmaster identifier

#### GMID

an EUI-64 used in IEEE 1588 and IEEE 802.1AS synchronization standards to uniquely identify the grandmaster serving a synchronization domain.

#### 3.13

#### Grandmaster

The master source of synchronization for clock distribution via PTP. The grandmaster is a network device and is identified by an EUI-64.

#### 3.14

#### IEEE

Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers is a professional association dedicated to advancing technological innovation and excellence. The IEEE publishes a wide range of communications standards.

#### 3.15

### IETF

Internet Engineering Task Force is the volunteer standards-developing organization responsible for the Internet Protocol suite.

#### 3.16

#### IGMP

Internet Group Management Protocol (IGMP) is a communications protocol used by hosts to report their multicast group memberships to IPv4 routers.

#### 3.17

#### **Internet Protocol**

#### IP

the network layer protocol commonly used to transport data on networks built through interconnection of one or more local-area networks.

#### 3.18

#### IPv4

Internet Protocol version 4 is the most widely deployed version of the protocol and is widely used on the Internet and on local area networks (LANs).

#### 3.19

#### IPv6

Internet Protocol version 6 is the most recent revision of the Internet Protocol and is intended to replace IPv4 eventually.

#### 3.20

#### Link offset

Link offset specifies the amount of time media spends on the network and in buffers at the sender and receiver as illustrated in figure 1. Link offset is also known as *network latency* or *playout delay*.

#### 3.21

#### Media clock

The clock used by senders to sample and receivers to play digital media streams. The media clock for audio streams reads in units of samples. The relationship between media clock and network clock is defined in 5.

#### 3.22

#### Media packet

One of the data packets carrying media data as part of a media stream. A media packet contains one or more samples for one or more audio channels.

#### 3.23

Media stream See RTP stream.

### 3.24

### Maximum transmission unit

#### MTU

The size of the IP packet, measured in bytes, that can be transferred using a specific data link connection. The MTU for an Ethernet data link is 1500 bytes.

#### 3.25

#### Network clock

The time delivered by the network synchronization mechanism defined in 4. The network clock reads in units of seconds.

#### 3.26

#### Network layer

The network layer is layer 3 of the OSI model and is responsible for packet forwarding and routing of variablelength data sequences from a source to a destination.

#### 3.27

#### **OSI model**

The Open Systems Interconnect Model characterizes and standardizes the functions of a communications system in terms of abstraction layers.

#### 3.28

#### Packet time

The real-time duration of the media data contained in a media packet. For example, a packet containing 12 samples of 48 kHz audio has a packet time of  $12 \div 48$  kHz = 250 microseconds.

#### 3.29

#### Peer-to-peer Transparent Clock

A transparent clock that, in addition to providing Precision Time Protocol (PTP) event transit time information, also provides corrections for the propagation delay of the link connected to the port receiving the PTP event message. In the presence of peer-to-peer transparent clocks, delay measurements between slave clocks and the master clock are performed using the peer-to-peer delay measurement mechanism. Source: IEEE 1588-2008.

#### 3.30

### Precision time protocol

### PTP

The general class clock distribution protocol standardized in IEEE 1588-2002, IEEE 1588-2008 and IEEE 802.1AS-2011.

#### 3.31

#### Quality of service

#### QoS

describes a system for classifying, marking and delivering traffic across a network in accordance with its performance requirements.

#### 3.32

#### Receiver

A network device with ability to receive at least one media stream from the network.

#### 3.33

### **Request for Comment**

#### RFC

Request for Comments are memorandums published by the IETF relevant for the working of the Internet and Internet-connected systems. RFCs are referenced by number. RFC 791, for example, defines the Internet Protocol version 4 (IPv4).

#### 3.34

#### RTCP

A companion protocol of the Real-time Transport Protocol (RTP), providing statistics and control information for RTP media packets.

### AES STANDARDS: - DRAFT FOR COMMENT ONLY

#### 3.35

#### **Real-time Transport Protocol**

#### RTP

is defined in RFC 3550 and provides a means for applications to organize, mark and transport their media packets using UDP/IP networking.

#### 3.36

#### **RTP** clock

Timestamps are carried in RTP packets containing stream data. Each stream has its own RTP clock. There is a constant offset between the media clock and the RTP clock (see 8.2).

#### 3.37

#### **RTP** session

An RTP session is a media connection between sender and receiver. RTP sessions may be unicast or multicast. In teleconferencing RTP applications, multicast sessions may have multiple senders and receivers. However, under this standard, a session is allowed only one sender (see 7.6).

#### 3.38

#### **RTP** stream

An RTP stream is a sequence of RTP packets with media data sent at regular interval. A stream may contain multiple channels. There may be multiple media streams per RTP session.

### 3.39

#### **Session Description Protocol** SDP

a format for describing RTP sessions and their operating parameters including network addressing, encoding format and other metadata. SDP is defined in RFC 4566.

#### 3.40

#### Sender

A network device with ability to source at least one media stream onto the network.

#### 3.41

Session See RTP session.

#### 3.42 **Session Initiation Protocol**

#### SIP

a telecommunications connection management protocol defined in RFC 3261.

#### 3.43

#### **SIP URI**

A SIP URI is a URI used by SIP to identify user agents. SIP URI take the form sip:<user>@<domain> or sips:<user>@<domain>. See 10.1.1.

#### 3.44

#### **Slave Clock**

A clock that is synchronized to a master clock (the provider of time) within an environment that uses the Precision Time Protocol (PTP). A slave may, in turn, be a master to another clock and may simultaneously be a boundary clock.

Page 10 of 41

### **AES STANDARDS: - DRAFT FOR COMMENT ONLY**

#### aes67-xxxx-130729-cfc

#### **3.45 Stream** See RTP stream.

#### 3.46 Transport Layer Security TLS

a cryptographic protocol for secure communication over IP networks.

#### 3.47

#### **Transparent clock**

A device that measures the time taken for a Precision Time Protocol (PTP) event message to transit the device and provides this information to clocks receiving this PTP event message. See IEEE 1588-2008. See also: end-to-end transparent clock; peer-to-peer transparent clock.

#### 3.48

#### Transport layer

The network layer is layer 4 of the OSI model and provides end-to-end communication services for network applications.

3.49

#### User datagram protocol

UDP

constitutes a simple transport layer for the IP network layer. Defined in RFC 768.

#### 3.50

#### Uniform resource identifier

#### URI

an identifier for a network resource. An identification URI enables interaction with the resource over a network.

#### 3.51

#### User agent

A SIP endpoint device such as a VoIP telephone.

### 3.52

### Virtual LAN

#### VLAN

A single layer-2 network may be partitioned to create multiple distinct broadcast domains, which are mutually isolated so that packets can only pass between them via one or more routers; such a domain is referred to as a Virtual Local Area Network.

### **4** Synchronization

#### 4.0 General

The ability for network participants to share an accurate common clock distinguishes high-performance media streaming from its lower-performance brethren such as Internet radio and IP telephony. Using a common clock, receivers anywhere on the network can synchronize their playback with one another. A common clock allows for a fixed and determinable latency between sender and receiver. A common clock assures that all streams are sampled and presented at exactly the same rate. Streams running at the same rate may be readily combined in receivers. This property is critical for efficient implementation of networked audio devices such as digital mixing consoles.

Synchronization of a common clock shall be achieved using IEEE 1588-2008 Precision Time Protocol (PTP).

IEEE 1588-2008 is profiled for use in different synchronization applications. A profile describes protocol attributes, available options and required device performance. IEEE 1588-2008 specifies default profiles for delay request-response (IEEE 1588-2008 annex J.3) and peer-to-peer (IEEE 1588-2008 annex J.4) mechanisms.

Devices, with the exception of certain AVB devices (see below), shall support the IEEE 1588-2008 default profiles. Devices supporting the default profiles shall use IPv4 encapsulation as described in IEEE 1588-2008 annex D.

As a single exception, devices that use the AVB synchronization mechanism described in 4.3, and that need to be connected to an AVB network in order to accomplish media streaming, are not required to implement the IEEE 1588-2008 default profiles.

#### 4.1 IP network synchronization

Devices on standard IP networks should use the media profile defined in annex A to assure adequate performance for all applications. Devices may use the default profiles on IP networks but should recognize that lock time and accuracy will be degraded.

#### 4.2 IEEE 1588 network synchronization

On networks built using switches with IEEE 1588-2008 capabilities (boundary clocks or transparent clocks), adequate performance for audio transport is achieved using the appropriate default profile.

NOTE Due to performance constraints, some IEEE 1588 network equipment may be unable to support the media profile.

#### 4.3 AVB network synchronization

Enhanced Ethernet networks, as specified in IEEE 802.1Q-2011, commonly known as Audio Video Bridging (AVB), deliver synchronization using IEEE 802.1AS. IEEE 802.1AS defines an IEEE 1588-2008 profile. AVB networks may use their native IEEE 802.1AS synchronization profile in preference to the default profiles or media profile. Methods for building heterogeneous synchronization networks using IEEE 1588-2008 and IEEE 802.1AS-2011 are described in annex D.

#### 5 Media clocks

The media clock is used by senders to sample and by receivers to play digital media streams. The media clock has a fixed relationship to the network clock. The media clock and the network clock shall share the IEEE 1588 epoch of 1 January 1970 00:00:00 TAI, as defined in IEEE 1588-2008 clause 7.2.2. Digital audio to be carried on the network shall be sampled according to the media clock, or sampling-frequency converted to conform to the media clock.

aes67-xxxx-130729-cfc

NOTE With the introduction of leap seconds at the beginning of 1972, the offset between TAI and UTC became an integer number of seconds. This integer relationship exists for timestamps in 1972 and thereafter. The relationship is non-integral for timestamps in 1971 and prior. This leads to the alternate IEEE 1588 epoch definition given in IEEE 1588-2008 clause 7.2.2 of 31 December 1969 23:59:51.999918 UTC. Again, this non-integral UTC offset exists only for network clock time prior to 1972.

The media clock shall advance at an exact rate with respect to the network clock. The rate of the media clock shall be the same as the audio sampling frequency.

This standard supports three sampling frequencies: 44,1 kHz, 48 kHz and 96 kHz (see 7.1). The media clock for an audio stream sampled at 48 kHz advances exactly 48 000 samples for each elapsed second on the network clock, for example. The value of the media clock shall be 0 at the IEEE 1588 epoch and changes to 1 exactly one sample period after the epoch.

RTP clocks operate with a constant offset with respect to the media clock. The offset shall be conveyed through session description (see 8.3) on a per-stream basis.

In network protocols and management interfaces, RTP and media clocks are typically represented as 32-bit integers. The media clock for a 48 kHz stream will overflow its 32-bit representation approximately every 24,86 hours. To assure proper phasing with respect to the network clock, a media clock using 32-bit representation shall accurately take into account all such overflows (rollovers) between the epoch and the current time.

### 6 Transport

#### 6.0 General

Transport aspects describe how media data, once encoded and packetized, is transported across the network. In terms of the OSI model, this clause defines operation on layer 3 (network layer) and layer 4 (transport layer). The standard does not specify how interoperability is achieved at lower layers in the model. It is assumed that best practices in transport of IP over the network technologies in question are employed.

NOTE Carriage of IP over Ethernet is described in RFC 894 - A Standard for the Transmission of IP Datagrams over Ethernet Networks.

#### 6.1 Network layer

Media packets shall be transported using IP version 4 as defined in RFC 791.

NOTE 1 Although care has been taken in design of this standard so as to facilitate future support for IPv6, support for IPv6 is outside the scope of this revision of the standard.

Despite a requirement in RFC 791, receivers are not required under this standard to support reassembly of fragmented packets. A receiver that does not support reassembly shall ignore IP packet fragments.

Senders may set the Don't Fragment flag (DF) bit in the IP header of outgoing media packets. In the event that a packet marked DF needs to be fragmented by the network, it will instead be dropped and an ICMP "Too Big" message will be sent back to the sender. Senders should terminate transmission of the offending stream in response to receipt of an ICMP "Too Big" message.

Multicast messaging, such as that used for synchronization, shall be accomplished using IP multicasting as described in RFC 1112.

NOTE 2 Additional tutorial information on IP multicasting is available in RFC 3170.

Page 13 of 41

**AES STANDARDS: - DRAFT FOR COMMENT ONLY** 

To ensure that desired multicasts are received and to allow the network to filter undesired multicasts, all devices shall support IGMPv2 as defined in RFC 2236 and may support IGMPv3 as defined in RFC 3376.

NOTE 3 IGMPv2 support is required because IGMPv3 devices operating on an IGMPv2 network experience a two minute startup delay looking for IGMPv3 services on the network.

NOTE 4 RFC 2236 and RFC 3376 include backwards-compatibility requirements. A device supporting IGMPv2 is able to correctly operate on a network supporting IGMPv1 or IGMPv2. A device supporting IGMPv3 is able to correctly operate on a network supporting IGMPv1, IGMPv2 or IGMPv3.

Devices shall use IGMP to request reception of any multicasts required. These include receipt of IEEE 1588 synchronization messages (see IEEE 1588-2008 clause D.3), media streams using multicast addressing (see 7.6) and also messages of other application protocols that may be used on the device, such as discovery (clause 9), that use multicast messaging.

NOTE 5 IGMP registration data can be purged by the network in some routing reconfiguration scenarios. Such a purge may result in an interruption of streamed data. An effective method of expediting restoration of service is to retransmit IGMP membership reports. This can be achieved by closing and immediately reopening any affected multicast network sockets.

Senders shall use IGMP to request receipt of any multicast media packets they are sending before sending such packets.

NOTE 6 By making this request, senders will not actually receive the packets they are sending, Rather, the purpose of this requirement is to prevent unnecessary flooding of multicast media data. Some IGMP snooping implementations flood any multicast which has no registered group members.

NOTE 7 This requirement is normally covered by a sender's desire to receive RTCP messages. However, under RFC 3550, devices are strongly encouraged but not absolutely required to send or receive RTCP packets.

#### 6.2 Quality of service

On a network shared with unregulated non-real-time traffic, time-critical media traffic generally requires prioritized handling known as QoS. In order to facilitate the implementation of suitable QoS in the network, devices shall implement the DiffServ method as described in RFC 2474. DiffServ uses the DSCP field in each IP packet header to mark packets according to their traffic class so that the network can easily recognize packets that need to be treated preferentially.

Minimally the three traffic classes described in table 1 shall be supported. Devices shall tag outgoing traffic with an appropriate DSCP value. Devices should use the default values for the DSCP field as given in table 1, but traffic may be marked with alternate DSCP values as provided by a network administrator or user through a management interface. Senders may be configured to use the same alternate values for multiple classes - this has the effect of combining classes. Devices are not required to implement a management interface and may use default values exclusively.

Media streams that require very low delivery latency may not traverse a network reliably when transported in the same QoS class with media streams using longer packet times. In order to differentiate media streams with different requirements, senders may be configured to use classes in addition to those shown in table 1.

aes67-xxxx-130729-cfc

Class name	Traffic type	Default DiffServ class (DSCP decimal value)
Clock	IEEE 1588-2008 Announce, Sync, Follow_Up, Delay_Req, Delay_Resp, Pdelay_Req, Pdelay_Resp and Pdelay_Resp_Follow_Up packets	EF (46)
Media	RTP and RTCP media stream data	AF41 (34)
Best effort	IEEE 1588-2008 signaling and management messages. Discovery and connection management messages.	DF (0)

#### Table 1 - QoS classes and DiffServ associations

NOTE 1 The DSCP markings on packets do not define any particular behavior of network devices or imply particular policies the network must implement. As a security measure, a network may even ignore incoming DSCP markings in which case it may distinguish and prioritize the traffic through other means (for example, UDP port number, IP addressing). Such network issues are outside the scope of this standard, although annex B provides some informative guidelines for network administrators.

NOTE 2 This standard makes no specific recommendation as to DSCP marking of traffic outside the scope of this standard. Traffic generated by other applications is marked as DF (0) by most systems, a situation compatible with this standard.

Senders should mark outgoing RTCP packets with the same DSCP value as the respective RTP stream packets. Receivers should mark outgoing RTCP packets with the same DSCP value they would use on RTP packets if transmitting a similar stream.

Receivers shall make no assumptions about class associations from DSCP markings on received packets.

NOTE DSCP markings may be changed in route by the network or assignments may be reconfigured at the sender without the receiver's knowledge.

#### 6.3 Transport layer

The transport layer provides end-to-end communications between devices on a network. The layer handles issues of packet loss and reordering and implements multiplexing so that a single network connection can serve multiple applications on the end station.

Devices shall use Real-time Transport Protocol as defined in RFC 3550. Devices shall operate in accordance with RTP Profile for Audio and Video Conferences with Minimal Control as defined in RFC 3551. Devices should use the default ports allocated for RTP: 5004 for RTP and 5005 for RTCP (see RFC 3551, section 8). Devices may use other or additional ports.

Devices shall use UDP as defined in RFC 768 for transport of RTP.

Fragmentation is undesirable and under this standard receivers are not required to perform reassembly (6.1). The standard 1500-byte Ethernet MTU is assumed. To prevent fragmentation through a standard Ethernet infrastructure when using IPv4, and to assure future compatibility with IPv6, the maximum allowed RTP payload size shall be 1440 bytes.

NOTE on connections offering lower MTU than Ethernet's 1500 bytes, senders may wish to use a smaller maximum payload than specified here.

aes67-xxxx-130729-cfc

Encrypted streaming using TLS, while supported in the RTP protocol suite, is not supported as part of this interoperability standard.

Senders should not include contributing source (CSRC) identifiers in the RTP header. Senders should not add RTP header extensions (RFC 3550 clause 5.1). However, as per RFC 3551, receivers shall tolerate the presence of CSRC identifiers and header extensions.

Both senders and receivers should transmit RTCP messages as specified in RFC 3550 clause 6. Senders and receivers should allocate RTCP bandwidth as recommended in RFC 3551 clause 2 (RTCP report interval).

### 7 Encoding and streaming

#### 7.0 Introduction

Encoding describes the means in which audio is digitized and formatted into the sequence of packets that constitutes a stream.

#### 7.1 Payload format and sampling rate

Payload format defines audio sample encodings. The following payload formats are supported:

- L16 16-bit linear format defined in RFC 3551 clause 4.5.11
- L24 24-bit linear format defined in RFC 3190 clause 4

All devices shall support 48 kHz sampling rate. Devices should support 96 and 44,1 kHz sampling rates. See also AES5.

When operating at 48 kHz sampling rate:

- 1. Receivers shall support both L16 and L24 encodings
- 2. Senders shall support either L16, or L24, or both encodings

When operating at 96 kHz sampling rate:

1. Both senders and receivers shall support L24 encoding

When operating at 44,1 kHz sampling rate:

1. Both senders and receivers shall support L16 encoding

While all devices shall be able to support 48 kHz sampling frequency, they are not required to accept 48 kHz connections at all times. They may, for instance, have a global sample frequency configuration and only accept 48 kHz connections when the user selects global 48 kHz mode. Devices are not required to support multiple sampling frequencies simultaneously.

Devices may support L16 at 96 kHz and other audio format combinations defined in RFC 3551 and RFC 3190. However, sampling rate and payload combinations beyond those defined above are outside the scope of this standard.

NOTE The indication of the format and sampling rate in use for a given stream is given by a combination of the *payload type* field in the RTP header (RFC 3550 clause 5.1) and description information associated with the stream (see 8).

#### 7.2 Packet time

#### 7.2.0 General

Packet time is the real-time duration of the media data contained in a media packet. Given the sampling rate and packet time, the number of samples per packet can be calculated.

Short packet times allow for lower latency but introduce overhead and high packet rates that may overtax some devices or networks. Long packet times imply higher latency and require additional buffering which may not be available on memory-constrained devices.

Packet time is determined by the sender, is specified in the session description (see 8.1) and negotiated through connection management (see 10). Senders shall not change packet time for the duration of a session. Receivers may assume that packet time does not change for the duration of a session. To enable interoperation with standard RTP implementations, receivers should not rely on the presence or accuracy of any packet time description. Receivers should be able to determine packet time based on the timestamps in received packets.

Interoperability is addressed by the requirement that devices implement the 1-millisecond packet time defined in 7.2.1. Further interoperability is encouraged through additional packet time recommendations in 7.2.2.

Product documentation for a device shall indicate which packet times are supported in send and receive directions.

#### 7.2.1 Required packet time

A packet time of 1 millisecond offers the widest possible interoperability and compatibility with audio and network equipment.

Senders shall be able to load each audio packet with 48 samples of audio data when operating at a sampling frequency of 48 kHz or 44,1 kHz and 96 samples when operating at a sampling frequency of 96 kHz. Receivers shall be able to receive and decode 48-sample packets when operating at a sampling frequency of 48 kHz or 44,1 kHz, and 96-sample packets when operating at a sampling frequency of 96 kHz.

While all devices shall support the packet time requirements specified above, they are not required to accept these connections at all times. They may, for instance, have a global packet time configuration and only accept these connections when so configured. Devices are not required to support multiple packet times simultaneously.

#### 7.2.2 Recommended packet times

For enhanced interoperability over a range of applications, senders and receivers should support one or more of the other packet times listed in table 2.

Senders and receivers may support additional packet times. Maximum packet time is limited by network MTU as described in 6.3.

aes67-xxxx-130729-cfc

Packet time	Packet samples (48 kHz)	Packet samples (96 kHz)	Packet samples (44,1 kHz)	Notes		
"125 microseconds"	6	12	6	Compatible with class A AVB transport		
"250 microseconds"	12	24	12	High-performance, low-latency operation. Interoperable with class A and compatible with class B AVB transport.		
"333 microseconds"	16	32	16	Efficient low-latency operation		
"1 millisecond"	48	96	48	Required common packet time for all devices adhering to this standard (see 7.2.1)		
"4 milliseconds"	192	n.a.	192	For applications desiring interoperability with EBU Tech 3326 or transport over wider areas or on networks with limited QoS capability		

 Table 2 - Required and recommended packet times

NOTE 96 kHz is not discussed in EBU Tech 3326. MTU restrictions of clause 6.3 limit a 96 kHz audio stream using 4-ms packet time to a single channel.

#### 7.3 Stream channel count

The maximum number of channels per stream is limited by the packet time, encoding format and network MTU as described in 6.3.

Receivers shall support reception of streams with 1 to 8 audio channels. Receivers may support streams with more than 8 channels. Senders shall offer at least one stream with 8 channels or fewer.

Format, sampling rate	Packet time	Maximum channels per stream
L24, 48 kHz	125 microseconds	80
L16, 48 kHz	250 microseconds	60
L24, 48 kHz	250 microseconds	40
L24, 48 kHz	333-1/3 microseconds	30
L24, 96 kHz	250 microseconds	20
L24, 48 kHz	1 millisecond	10
L24, 48 kHz	4 milliseconds	2

Table 3 - Examples: maximum channel capacities per stream

NOTE- Although bundling multiple channels in a stream can improve network and processing efficiency, it is recommended that bundling be used primarily in service of the application. Channels of related material (for example, stereo or surround sound) are good candidates for bundling. Bundling of unrelated channels destined for different receivers in an effort to reduce network overhead is discouraged as this complicates media routing configuration.

### **AES STANDARDS: - DRAFT FOR COMMENT ONLY**

#### 7.4 Link offset

Link offset describes the latency through a media network. It is defined as the difference in time between when audio enters the sender (*ingress time*) and when it leaves the receiver (*egress time*).

*Ingress time* is referenced at ingress to the *sender network system*. RTP packets are marked with origination timestamps in the *timestamp* field (RFC 3550 clause 5.1) based on this reference point. *Egress time* is referenced at egress from the *receiver network system*. *Link offset* is therefore the time difference between ingress at the sender and egress at the receiver. Link offset and ingress and egress reference points are illustrated in figure 1.



#### Figure 1 - Example network illustrating link offset and ingress and egress reference points

Link offset is determined at the receiver and is dependent on multiple factors, including packet time, propagation and queuing delays through the network, packet handling in the devices and buffering at the receiver. A receiver should attempt to maintain a constant link offset. At the same time it is recognized that unexpected changes to network conditions may require changing the buffering at the receiver resulting in a change of the link offset. The link offset and any changes in link offset should be communicated to the management entity within the receiver, if present.

NOTE 1 Minimum possible link offset is packet time (see 7.2) plus network forwarding time. Forwarding time for a minimum-sized packet on a point-to-point gigabit Ethernet connection can be as low as 0,5 microseconds. Minimum link offsets in an actual implementation under realistic network conditions will approach twice the packet time and beyond.

NOTE 2 Future work may specify a link offset management mechanism which is expected to require additional buffering and reporting of link offset to a central latency management server on the network and means for receivers to adjust link offset based on commands from the latency management server. See IETF *draft-ietf-avtcore-idms* for details.

#### 7.5 Sender timing and receiver buffering

Buffering at the receiver is required to absorb jitter generated by packetization, network delivery and in the sender or receiver's network stacks and controllers. The receiver's buffer must accommodate media for the duration of the link offset minus the minimum delivery time between sender and receiver. The sender's packet buffer must accommodate packet time plus any variation introduced by the sender's network stack and controller. If buffering is too short, data may not arrive in time to be played, resulting in audio dropouts. Longer buffering improves robustness but introduces additional latency.

Receivers shall have a buffer capacity at least 3 times the packet time. Receivers should have a buffer capacity at least 20 times the packet time or 20 ms whichever is smaller.

Senders nominally send packets associated with a stream at packet time intervals. Senders should transmit at the nominal transmission time with a variation of 1 packet time or less. Senders shall transmit data at the nominal transmission time with a variation of no more than 17 packet times or 17 ms whichever is smaller.

The above requirements are designed to allow a range of implementations from hardware to applications running on desktop operating systems. Additional buffering and more accurate transmission timing are encouraged and will produce improved robustness and interoperability.

#### 7.6 Multicasting

Multicasting of stream data allows for efficient one-to-many distribution of audio. Multicasting is also an important component in simplified connection management described in 10.2 in which a sender multicasts a stream and receivers discover and then simply listen to the stream in progress.

Receivers shall be able to receive multicast and unicast streams.

Although RTP supports many-to-many connections, under this standard only a single device shall send per multicast destination.

Where used, multicasting shall use administratively scoped multicast addresses in the range **239.0.0.0** to **239.255.255.255**. This range may be subdivided by network administrators and a subset allocated for use by media networking.

The destination address used for a particular stream shall be configured through the management interface at the sender. It is assumed that each stream will be assigned a unique destination address within the scope. The nature of the management interface and the allocation scheme used are outside the scope of this standard.

NOTE Additional best-practice information on IP administratively-scoped multicasting is available from the IETF in RFC 2365 - Administratively Scoped IP Multicast.

#### 8 Session description

#### 8.0 General

Session descriptions are used by discovery (see 9) and connection management (see 10) to specify critical information about each stream including network addressing (see 6), encoding format (see 7) and origination information.

SDP as specified in RFC 4566 shall be used to represent the sessions for connection management. Interoperability imposes additional SDP requirements and recommendations as set out in the following clauses.

#### 8.1 Packet time

Packet time is described with two SDP attributes defined in RFC 4566.

```
a=ptime <milliseconds>[.<milliseconds decimal>]
a=maxptime <milliseconds>[.<milliseconds decimal>]
```

Signaled packet time multiplied by sampling frequency rounded to the nearest integer indicates the number of samples in each packet. The packet time descriptions shall be given with error less than half a sample period so that the calculated number of samples per packet rounds to the intended integer value. In many cases, this requires the inclusion of the **<milliseconds decimal>** in the description.

Page 20 of 41

#### aes67-xxxx-130729-cfc

Table 4 gives valid examples for the descriptions of packet times supported in 7.2. Packet times in descriptions shall be interpreted as a decimal representation. Values outside those enumerated in table 4 shall be correctly interpreted.

Packet time (below) and sample frequency (across)	48 kHz	96 kHz	44,1 kHz
"125 microseconds"	0,12	0,12	0,13
"250 microseconds"	0,25	0,25	0,27
"333 microseconds"	0,33	0,33	0,36
"1 millisecond"	1	1	1,09
"4 milliseconds"	4	4	4,35

Table 4:	Example,	packet time	signaling
----------	----------	-------------	-----------

Descriptions shall include a **ptime** attribute indicating the desired packet time. If more than one packet time is supported, a **maxptime** indicating the maximum packet time permitted shall be provided. The interoperable values for the **<milliseconds>** parameter for both **ptime** and **maxptime** are indicated in table 2.

The requirements of this description imply that the shorter packet time is always the preferred packet time. If an alternate preference is desired, the capability negotiation attributes of RFC 5939 may be used to enumerate the supported packet times and order of preference.

If the range of packet times supported includes more than two of the standard packet times (table 2), the description should use the capability negotiation attributes of RFC 5939 to enumerate the supported packet times and order of preference.

NOTE The non-integral-millisecond descriptions may not be correctly understood by connection management partners not in compliance with this standard. The description may need to be confined to integer **<millisecond>** values when attempting connection to such partners.

#### 8.2 Clock source

The **ts-refclk** attribute specifies the network clock reference used by the stream. **ts-refclk** supports specification of three versions of PTP in addition to other clock sources. The network clock source for each stream described shall be specified with one or more **ts-refclk** attributes as specified in *draft-ietf-avtcore-clksrc*.

The following examples illustrate use of the attribute within the scope of synchronization options available in this standard.

EXAMPLE 1 using IEEE 1588-2008 network clock as discussed in 4.1 or 4.2. The GMID in this example is 39-A7-94-FF-FE-07-CB-D0 and the domain is 0:

#### a=ts-refclk:ptp=IEEE1588-2008:39-A7-94-FF-FE-07-CB-D0:0

EXAMPLE 2 using an IEEE 802.1AS network clock as discussed in 4.3. The GMID in this example is 39-A7-94-FF-FE-07-CB-D0:

#### a=ts-refclk:ptp=IEEE802.1AS-2011:39-A7-94-FF-FE-07-CB-D0

Although, as discussed in *draft-ietf-avtcore-clksrc*, the PTP domain specification is optional, under this standard, signaling for RTP streams referenced to IEEE 1588-2008 shall indicate both GMID and PTP domain.

Page 21 of 41

### **AES STANDARDS: - DRAFT FOR COMMENT ONLY**

IEEE 802.1AS always uses domain 0 so no domain indication is supported or necessary. Two devices synchronized to IEEE 802.1AS shall be assumed to be using the same domain.

Receivers should attempt to connect to senders if they are using the same GMID clock reference as the sender. Receivers should not attempt to connect to senders if they are using a different PTP domain for their clock reference than the sender.

The case of a PTP domain match and mismatched GMID may indicate either a transition state of the network or lack of a common clock reference between sender and receiver or different grandmasters referenced to the same traceable clock source (for example, GPS). Receivers may attempt to make a connection in this case but should be prepared for possible synchronization failure.

Under *draft-ietf-avtcore-clksrc*, senders may specify multiple equivalent clock sources. Receivers should evaluate all clock sources specified and should attempt to connect based on the recommendations in this clause.

Senders and receivers should monitor for changes in their synchronization status during transmission. Senders should update their clock source description when a change is detected.

When their synchronization status changes or an updated description is received from the sender, receivers should reevaluate their ability to continue receiving according to the recommendations in this clause. Receivers are not required to terminate reception on detection of synchronization mismatch in an ongoing stream but they should be prepared for possible synchronization failure.

#### 8.3 RTP and media clock

The relationship of media clock to RTP clock shall be described for each stream with an **a=mediaclk:direct=<offset>** attribute as specified in *draft-ietf-avtcore-clksrc* clause 5.2. The **offset** specification shall be included in the description. A **mediaclk** attribute shall be provided for each stream described.

NOTE 1 *draft-ietf-avtcore-clksrc* allows **mediaclk** to be specified at session, media or source levels. As described in section 5.4 of that document, a declaration at a higher layer satisfies the above requirement for all lower level streams.

NOTE 2 The relationship of media clock to network clock is fixed and specified in 5.

The **mediaclk** attribute supports numerous media clock scenarios. The following example illustrates use of the attribute within the scope of this standard.

EXAMPLE media clock description - the RTP timestamp has a value of 1810024580 at the media clock epoch:

#### a=mediaclk:direct=1810024580

#### 8.4 Payload types

Allocation of a dynamic payload type and associated **rtpmap** attribute is required to specify the interoperable encoding formats (table 2) as none of these formats are called out as static payload types in RFC 3551 (clause 6, table 4 of that document). The receiver shall not assume any fixed relationship between payload type value and payload type. The relationship is defined on a stream-by-stream basis by senders using the **rtpmap** attribute.

#### 8.5 Example descriptions

#### 8.5.1 Multicast session description example

Example simple SDP description for 8 channels of 24-bit, 48 kHz audio transmitted as a multicast stream with 1-millisecond packet time.

```
v=0
o=- 1311738121 1311738121 IN IP4 192.168.1.1
c=IN IP4 239.0.0.1/32
s=Stage left I/0
t=0
m=audio 5004 RTP/AVP 96
i=Channels 1-8
a=rtpmap:96 L24/48000/8
a=sendonly
a=ptime:1
a=ts-refclk:ptp=IEEE1588-2008:39-A7-94-FF-FE-07-CB-D0:0
a=mediaclk:direct=963214424
```

#### 8.5.2 Unicast session description example

Example simple SDP description for 8 channels of 24-bit, 48 kHz audio transmitted as a unicast stream with 250-microsecond packet time.

```
v=0
o=audio 1311738121 1311738121 IN IP4 192.168.1.1
c=IN IP4 192.168.1.1/32
s=Stage left I/O
t=0
m=audio 5004 RTP/AVP 96
i=Channels 1-8
a=rtpmap:96 L24/48000/8
a=sendonly
a=ptime:0.250
a=ts-refclk:ptp=IEEE1588-2008:39-A7-94-FF-FE-07-CB-D0:0
a=mediaclk:direct=2216659908
```

### 9 Discovery

Discovery is the network service which allows participants to build a list of the other participants or sessions available on the network. Such a list can be presented to users to assist with connection management. Connection management requires a SIP URI (see 10.1) or SDP description (see 8). These may be delivered through Bonjour, SAP, static configuration or other means.

Devices are not required to implement discovery services. Devices may implement one or more discovery services including Bonjour, SAP and others.

A survey of discovery systems is included in annex E.

### **10 Connection management**

#### 10.0 General

Connection management is the procedure and protocols used to establish one or more media streams between a sender and one or more receivers.

#### 10.1 Unicast connections

Connection management for unicast streams shall be accomplished using the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) as defined in RFC 3261. SIP is widely used in IP telephony and is the connection management protocol used by EBU Tech 3326.

As per 7.6, all receivers shall support unicast (and multicast) streaming. It follows from the requirements of this clause that all receivers shall support SIP.

#### 10.1.1 SIP URI

Under SIP, audio devices are SIP *user agents* with an associated SIP URI. SIP allows user agents to locate and make connections to other user agents by referencing the other's SIP URI. A SIP URI for a potential connection may be learned through discovery (see 9) or through other means (for example: static configuration, proprietary directory service).

The **sip**: URI form shall be used by this standard. The **sips**: URI form indicates that connection management should be conducted securely using TLS. Secure connection management does not necessarily imply that stream transmission is done securely. Stream transmission security is negotiated as part of the connection management process. Although the RFC 3261 strongly recommends that user agents implement TLS, because this standard does not provide a recommendation for interoperability of secure media streaming (see 6.3), support of TLS for interoperability shall not be required.

#### 10.1.2 Server and serverless modes

SIP is conventionally used with the assistance and participation of SIP servers. Different types of servers perform different tasks for a SIP network. Servers may be located anywhere on the network where they are reachable by end stations. The use of servers creates a flexible and scalable connection management system.

Serverless mode is used to perform connection management between user agents in direct peer-to-peer fashion without the intervention of servers. The serverless mode is appropriate for modest installations where, due to limited scale, servers produce minimal benefit and the overhead of installing and configuring SIP servers introduces unnecessary complication.

In order to perform peer-to-peer connection management, the caller must have some means of determining network contact information (that is, host name or IP address) of the callee. This may be obtained through discovery (see 9), manual configuration, or higher-layer protocols. In peer-to-peer connection management, all SIP messages are directed to the target device instead of the server. A device in compliance with this standard shall respond to such requests.

Support for serverless mode does not release devices from requirements to operate in a normal SIP environment featuring servers. Specifically, devices will still need to attempt to discover, and register with, SIP registration servers and respond to messages originating from servers.

#### 10.1.3 User-Agent

The User-Agent header field in the SIP protocols is useful for conveying information about the end station that managers can use to expedite connection management and work around implementation-specific issues. The format of User-Agent data is defined in RFC 2616 clause 14.43. Devices should include a User-Agent header field in REGISTER and INVITE messages.

NOTE Security considerations for *User-Agent* in the context of SIP are discussed in RFC 3261 clause 20.41.

#### 10.1.4 Format negotiation

The standard offer/answer model as described in RFC 3264 shall be used to negotiate the encoding format for a connection. Supported encoding formats are described in 7.1.

#### 10.1.5 Packet time negotiation

The offer/answer model does not address negotiation of attributes such as packet time. As per 8.1 an offer supporting multiple packet times specifies a range with the **ptime** and **maxptime** attributes. An answer shall assume that **ptime** and **maxptime** packet times are supported. To increase flexibility and reliability, devices may wish to implement the capability negotiation provisions of RFC 5939.

#### **10.2 Multicast connections**

Multicast connection management may be accomplished without use of a connection management protocol. In this simple connection management scenario, the receiver is not required to make direct contact with the sender.

A receiver obtains a session description of the desired connection using discovery (see 9) or other means. When a receiver learns of a stream to which it would like to make a connection, it can use IGMP to inform the network of desire to receive and begin receiving the stream.

### Annex A (Normative) - Media profile

#### A.0 General

The IEEE 1588-2008 precision time protocol was designed to accommodate a range of synchronization applications. The differing requirements of different applications are accommodated through the definition and use of profiles. A profile is a set of operating parameter values and list of protocol components used in service of the application.

The media profile defined in this annex serves the requirements of media networking. Specifically, the profile enables short start-up time, high accuracy and compatibility with standard IP networking equipment.

It is anticipated that additional profiles will be published as the IEEE 1588 ecosystem grows and that some of these will be applicable to media networking. In response to these anticipated advances, devices may choose to implement profiles in addition to or instead of the media profile defined in this annex.

#### A.1 Media profile description

The Media profile specifies attributes and options required and allowed in use of IEEE 1588-2008 in synchronization for media transport under this standard. The basic structure and some of the text in this definition is taken from IEEE 1588-2008 annex J.

The Media profile differs from the Delay Request-Response Default PTP profile given in IEEE 1588-2008 clause J.3 in the following aspects:

- Identification information indicating AES origin of the profile.
- **portDS.logSyncInterval** and **portDS.logMinDelayReqInterval** are reduced to improve startup time and improve accuracy when using standard (as opposed to IEEE 1588 enabled) network equipment.
- The only supported message encapsulation is UDP/IPv4
- Clock physical requirements compatible with AES11
- Additional clockClass values to signal AES11 DARS Grade
- A recommendation to implement the peer delay mechanism in addition to request-response

#### A.2 Media profile

#### A.2.1 Identification

The identification values for this PTP profile (see IEEE 1588-2008 clause 19.3.3) are as follows:

PTP Profile: PTP profile for media applications. Version 1.0 Profile identifier: 00-0B-5E-00-01-00

This profile is specified by the AES Standards Committee.

A copy may be obtained by ordering AES<mark>67-xxxx</mark> from the AES Standards Store at: www.aes.org/publications/standards/

#### A.2.2 PTP attribute values

Nodes shall implement all requirements in this PTP profile that specify default values or choices such that these default values or choices apply without requiring user configuration; that is, as delivered from the manufacturer.

aes67-xxxx-130729-cfc

Attribute	Values
defaultDS.domainNumber	The default initialization value shall be 0.
	All other values (0 to 255) are allowed.
portDS.logAnnounceInterval	The default initialization value shall be 1.
	The configurable range shall be 0 to 4.
portDS.logSyncInterval	The default initialization value shall be -3.
	The configurable range shall be $-4$ to $+1$ .
portDS.logMinDelayReqInterval	The default initialization value shall be 0.
	The configurable range shall be -3 to 5 or
	portDS.logSyncInterval to
	restrictive.
portDS.logMinPdelayReqInterval	The default initialization value shall be 0.
	The configurable range shall be 0 to 5.
portDS.announceReceiptTimeout	The default initialization value shall be 3.
	The configurable range shall be in the range 2 to 10.
defaultDS.priority1	The default initialization value shall be 128.
defaultDS.clockClass	Table A.2 specifies additional values beyond those specified in IEEE 1588-2008 table 5 in support of AES11 physical clock specifications (see A 2.4)
defaultDS.priority2	The default initialization value shall be 128
defaultDS.slaveOnly	If this parameter is configurable, the default value
	shall be FALSE.
transparentClockdefaultDS.primaryDomain	The default initialization value shall be 0.
τ (see IEEE 1588-2008 clause 7.6.3.2)	The default initialization value shall be 1,0 s.

#### Table A.1 – attribute values for use with Media profile

For each defined range, manufacturers may allow wider ranges.

Table A.2 - clockClass values for use with	n Media profile
--	-----------------

clockClass (decimal)	Specification	Time scale	Slave capable	Specific to Media profile
6	A clock that is synchronized to a primary reference time source (for example, GPS)	РТР	No	No
7	A clock that has previously been designated as <b>clockClass</b> 6 but that has lost the ability to synchronize to a primary reference time source and is in holdover mode and within holdover specifications	РТР	No	No

aes67-xxxx-130729-cfc

13	A clock that is synchronized to an external media clock source	ARB	No	No
14	A clock that has previously been designated as <b>clockClass</b> 13 but that has lost the ability to synchronize to an external media clock source and is in holdover mode and within holdover specifications	ARB	No	No
52	Degradation alternative A for a clock of <b>clockClass</b> 7 that is not within holdover specification	РТР	No	No
58	Degradation alternative A for a clock of <b>clockClass</b> 14 that is not within holdover specification	ARB	No	No
150	A clock whose frequency is synchronized to a reference with $\pm 1$ ppm frequency accuracy (for example, a Grade-1 DARS according to AES11-2009) and whose time has been previously synchronized to a primary reference time source	PTP	Yes	Yes
158	A clock whose frequency is synchronized to a reference with $\pm$ 10 ppm frequency accuracy (for example, a Grade- 2 DARS according to AES11-2009) and whose time has been previously synchronized to a primary reference time source	РТР	Yes	Yes
166	A clock of unspecified tolerance that has been previously synchronized to a primary reference time source	РТР	Yes	Yes
187	Degradation alternative B for a clock of <b>clockClass</b> 7 that is not within holdover specification	РТР	Yes	No
193	Degradation alternative B for a clock of <b>clockClass</b> 14 that is not within holdover specification	ARB	Yes	No
220	A clock whose frequency is synchronized to a reference with $\pm 1$ ppm frequency accuracy (for example, a Grade-1 DARS according to AES11-2009) and whose time has <i>not</i> been previously synchronized to a primary reference time source	ARB	Yes	Yes
228	A clock whose frequency is synchronized to a reference with $\pm$ 10 ppm frequency accuracy (for example, a Grade- 2 DARS according to AES11-2009) and whose time has <i>not</i> been previously synchronized to a primary reference time source	ARB	Yes	Yes
248	Default. This <b>clockClass</b> shall be used if none of the other <b>clockClass</b> definitions apply; for example, a clock of unspecified tolerance that has not been previously synchronized to a primary reference time source.	ARB	Yes	No
255	A slave-only clock	n.a.	Yes	No

Holdover specification for all **clockClass** specifications are +/- 5 % of a 96 kHz word-clock period.

#### A.2.3 PTP options

Devices may implement any options of IEEE 1588-2008 clause 17. Devices may implement unicast negotiation as defined in clause 16.1 of IEEE 1588-2008. All of these options shall be inactive unless specifically activated by a management procedure.

Node management shall implement the management message mechanism of IEEE 1588-2008.

The best master clock algorithm shall be the algorithm specified by IEEE 1588-2008 clause 9.3.2.

The default path-delay measurement mechanism shall be the delay request-response mechanism specified by IEEE 1588. The peer delay mechanism should also be implemented.

NOTE Only a single mechanism is allowed per link. Boundary clocks should be used between links that use different path delay mechanisms.

#### A.2.4 Clock physical requirements

Clocks shall meet requirements of Grade 2 DARS set forth in AES11 clause 5.2. Clocks may conform to the Grade 1 requirements but in so doing shall indicate this to other network participants as described in A.2.2 **defaultDS.clockClass**.

NOTE 1 Support for the default IEEE 1588 profile is required; the physical requirements associated with the default must be met when the default profile is in use. Of specific interest in this context is the default profile requirement for a +/- 100 ppm adjustability with a recommendation for +/- 250 ppm adjustability.

NOTE 2 The fact that individual clocks meet AES11 requirements does not guarantee the clock distribution system as a whole meets AES11 specifications as network performance also contributes to the quality of the distributed clock.

### Annex B (Informative) - Network QoS configuration recommendations

#### **B.0 General**

Networks supporting high-performance media streaming must provide the QoS required by these services. This standard recognizes the need for QoS and, in 6.2, specifies how traffic is to be marked for the network. Although network behavior is outside the scope of the standard, this annex gives general configuration guidelines for an IP network with QoS implemented in accordance with IETF DiffServ recommendations.

#### **B.1 DiffServ network configuration**

DiffServ is a framework for classification and differentiated treatment of network traffic. DiffServ is considered a course-grained QoS architecture as it operates on classes of traffic in aggregate rather than on individual traffic flows.

Per-hop behavior (PHB) is fundamental to DiffServ operation. At each router or switch, traffic is classified and retransmitted according to that classification. In the presence of congestion, higher priority traffic is retransmitted promptly while lower priority traffic waits in buffers inside network equipment and may be discarded altogether. The use of PHBs on classes of traffic, as opposed to individual traffic flows (for example, a media stream) produces a simple and scalable QoS solution.

Ethernet equipment typically supports PHB though use of multiple egress queues per port. Different classes of traffic are queued separately. When an egress port is available to transmit a packet, a selection algorithm selects a packet from one of the queues. There are several selection algorithms in use in network equipment. Arguably the simplest selection algorithm is *strict priority*. Under this scheme the oldest packet from the highest-priority non-empty queue is selected for transmission. This results in the lowest possible latency for high-priority traffic but can result in lower priority traffic being held off for long periods possibly resulting in lost data, a condition known as starvation. More sophisticated selection algorithms such as *weighted round robin* and *guaranteed minimum bandwidth*, address starvation by adding more balance to the process. Under these algorithms, no one is starved for bandwidth but latency for highest priority traffic is higher.

Within a network supporting DiffServ, traffic classes are identified by a 6-bit differentiated services code point (DSCP) value in every IP header. These values are assigned by the end stations generating the traffic as defined in 6.2.

Media streaming under this standard presents three traffic classes to the network. The recommended DSCP values specified in 6.2 for each class are suitable for use on networks configured in accordance with the recommendations in DiffServ RFCs.

NOTE Not all networks are configured in accordance with the DiffServ recommendations. The RFCs allow considerable latitude and consequently, there is considerable variation in how DiffServ is configured and deployed on networks.

#### B.1.1 Clock

Clock traffic consists of relatively low-frequency transmissions (less than 100 packets/second) of small UDP packets (on the order of 100 bytes each) and low bandwidth (less than 100 kbits/second). Although clock traffic is not highly sensitive to packet loss, it is sensitive to latency and specifically to latency variation which is also known among network engineers as delay variation (DV). High levels of DV for clock traffic degrades the accuracy of clock delivery across the network.

It is recommended that IEEE 1588-2008 clock traffic be assigned highest priority for application traffic on the network recognizing that on some networks, network management and routing control traffic are assigned

priority higher than any application traffic. On most DiffServ networks, this is achieved by assigning clock traffic to the Expedited Forwarding (EF) class. EF is typically implemented as a strict priority queue that is given transmission preference ahead of other queues.

NOTE EF is often also used for VoIP traffic on the assumption that, compared to previous network applications (file transfers, e-mail deliver, web browsing), VoIP is the most performance-critical network application. However, VoIP typically operates using a 20 ms packet time making it up to two orders of magnitude less time critical than media traffic associated with this standard. Fortunately the small packet size (100 bytes typical) and low bandwidth (10 kbit/second per call) limit the potential interference from VoIP traffic.

Networks configured with an EF differentiated service and willing to trust the end station markings may accept the traffic as is. More security-conscious networks may wish to recognize and classify clock traffic based on its addressing and port assignments as shown in table B.1.

Traffic	Destination address	Protocol	Destination port
Time-critical event messages	224.0.1.129	UDP	319

#### Table B.1 - Clock class traffic identification

#### B.1.2 Media

Media traffic is characterized by high frequency (up to 8000 packets per second per stream) and high bandwidth (over 1 Mbit per audio channel). Packet size depends on number of audio channels carried in a stream and can range from less than 100 bytes for a single-channel stream to the full 1500-byte Ethernet MTU for a maximally loaded stream. Media packets must be delivered in a timely and reliable manner. Any packet loss in media data will manifest as audio dropouts. For the highest performance applications, packets delayed more than 250 microseconds by the network may arrive too late to be useful and this will also manifest as audio dropouts. The audibility and impact of audio dropouts is application dependent. Most professional applications have a low tolerance for dropouts.

This standard recommends in 6.2 that senders mark media traffic with the AF41 DSCP value of 34. The clause also allows implementations to use alternate DSCP markings for different streams based on QoS requirements.

It is recommended that media traffic be assigned to a high-priority assured-forwarding class. Assured-forwarding PHB is defined in RFC 2597. Some applications may benefit from multiple assured-forwarding classes for media. Implementation of assured forwarding may require network engineering to establish a subscribed rate that supports the traffic expected to be carried by the class. Best performance is achieved if assured forwarding is implemented as a second strict priority queue below EF. Assured forwarding is more typically implemented using a weighted round robin selection algorithm.

Networks configured with an AF41 differentiated service and willing to trust the end station markings may accept the traffic as is. More security-conscious networks may wish to recognize and classify media traffic based on its addressing and port assignments as shown in table B.2.

aes67-xxxx-130729-cfc

Traffic	Destination	Protocol	Destination port
Default RTP media	Any unicast or multicast	UDP	5004
Default RTCP management	Any unicast or multicast	UDP	5005
Additional or alternate media traffic addressing as allowed in 6.3	Any unicast or multicast	UDP	Any user port (1024 to 65535)

#### Table B.2 - Media class traffic identification

NOTE- Details on additional or alternate port assignments for media traffic for a specific device may be available in product documentation or though inquiry of the manufacturer.

#### B.1.3 Best effort

Best-effort traffic constitutes any traffic related to this standard which is not classified as clock or media traffic. This includes messaging associated with discovery (see 9) and connection management (see 10).

End stations normally mark best-effort (BE) traffic with the BE DSCP value of 0. Because all networks implement a best effort traffic class and any traffic not otherwise classified is assumed to be best effort, no special configuration is typically required to accommodate BE traffic.

### Annex C (Informative) – AVB network transport

#### C.0 General

This standard defines how to use an IP transport to carry media data. Ethernet is a common network used to support IP transport. AVB can be viewed as an improved Ethernet. The AVB network is described in a suite of IEEE standards. The standards relevant to network transport are:

- IEEE 802.1AB Audio Video Bridging (AVB) Systems
- IEEE 802.1Q Clause 34 Forwarding and queuing for time-sensitive streams
- IEEE 802.1Q Clause 35 Stream Registration Protocol (SRP)

The AVB improvements can be used to improve performance of media transport. AVB improvements can also be used to improve clock distribution, a topic which is discussed separately in annex D.

#### C.1 AVB network transport

Two basic methods for transmission of interoperable media streams across an AVB network are available.

Transporting interoperable media as an AVB time-sensitive stream makes use of AVB improvements with the restriction that this method of transport must be confined to an AVB network domain and cannot exit to legacy portions of a network that do not support the AVB improvements and thus cannot connect to non-AVB devices.

Transporting interoperable media as "other traffic" allows the flexibility to connect to and through AVB and non-AVB portions of the network and to non-AVB devices. This mode of transport does not make use of AVB's QoS and registration services and is confined to the proportion of network bandwidth not allocated to time-sensitive streams, typically 25%.

#### C.1.1 Interoperable media as AVB time-sensitive streams

Devices in compliance with this standard that also have AVB capabilities may choose to use AVB bandwidth reservation and QoS provisions for transport of media streams. The advantages of this approach include:

- Reserved bandwidth assures successful media transmission across the network
- Potential compatibility with other AVB devices using IP transport
- Premium bandwidth and latency performance

Devices using this method must be directly connected to an Ethernet switch supporting the AVB standards and protocols. Under AVB, there are two classes of media streams. Class A streams are highest priority and achieve a 2-ms latency guarantee on IEEE 802.1BA compliant networks. Class B streams are lower priority but still higher priority than any other non-stream data on the network. Class B streams achieve a 50-ms latency guarantee on IEEE 802.1BA compliant networks. Either class may be used for interoperable media transport.

Before a device can transmit interoperable media as AVB media traffic, bandwidth must be reserved for the traffic. This is accomplished using the Stream Reservation Protocol described in clause 35 of IEEE 802.1Q. In the reservation, the sender specifies the class of traffic (A or B) and the maximum amount of traffic generated in the measurement interval for that class. The measurement interval is 125 microseconds for class A and 250 microseconds for class B.

#### C.1.1.1 Sender behavior

A sender (talker in AVB terminology) uses SRP to provide the following information to the AVB network in a Talker Advertise message:

StreamID	A 64-bit globally-unique identifier comprised of the 48-bit Ethernet MAC address of the sender and 16-bits unique to the device generated by the sender.	
Destination address	The destination address used for stream transmission. Only multicast destination addresses are supported for stream transmission on AVB networks.	
VLAN identifier	All AVB media packets include an IEEE 802.1Q VLAN tag. The VLAN tag contains a 12-bit VLAN identifier. The default VLAN identifier for AVB streams is 2.	
MaxFrameSize	The maximum size of the media stream packets created as defined in 7. MaxFrameSize includes the IP header but excludes any Ethernet overhead.	
MaxIntervalFrames	Maximum number of frames a sender may transmit in one measurement interval. Since packet times allowed by 7.2 are greater than or equal to AVB measurement intervals, this is always 1.	
Data Frame Priority	3 for class A, 2 for class B	
Rank	1 for normal traffic, 0 for emergency traffic	

SRP allocates a fixed amount of bandwidth equal to MaxFrameSize × MaxIntervalFrames per measurement interval. Note that because packet times for interoperable streams are equal to or longer than AVB measurement intervals, actual bandwidth consumed may be significantly less than bandwidth reserved. This should be taken into account in AVB network design.

Senders must receive positive acknowledgement in the form of *Listener Ready* or *Listener Ready Failed* from SRP before transmitting stream data packets. Senders transmit stream data packets formatted as IP packets as described in 7. AVB networks use multicast filtering to enforce bandwidth allocation and thus support only multicast destination addressing for stream data (see IEEE 802.1Q clause 35.2.2.8.3). Stream packets transported in this way across an AVB network must therefore use multicast IP destination addressing. The IP multicast destination address is mapped to an Ethernet multicast destination address in the range **01:00:5e:00:00:00 to 01:00:5e:7f:ff:ff** according to the procedures of RFC 1112 clause 6.4.

The IP packets are framed into Ethernet packets. The Ethernet framing must include an IEEE 802.1Q VLAN tag with VLAN identifier and priority code point matching the corresponding SRP parameters: *VLAN Identifier* and *Data Frame Priority*. Transmission timing of stream data packets within a class by the sender must be in accordance with the credit-based shaper described in IEEE 802.1Q clause 8.6.82.

#### C.1.1.2 Receiver behavior

A receiver (listener in AVB terminology) uses SRP to provide a desired StreamID to the network in a *Listener Declaration*. If the stream is available, the receiver receives a *Talker Advertise* and must then send an MVRP membership request to join the VLAN specified in the *Talker Advertise*. At this point, stream data packets begin to arrive and the receiver should process them in the same manner they are processed on non-AVB networks.

#### C.1.2 Interoperable media as other traffic

Although, an AVB network reserves up to 75% of available bandwidth on each link for media traffic, this allocation is configurable. A larger percentage of bandwidth can be reserved for other traffic. The AVB QoS algorithm known as the credit-based shaper is considerate of other traffic giving it an opportunity to traverse the network each measurement interval. Measurement intervals are 125 microseconds for AVB networks carrying only class A streams and 250 microseconds for networks carrying class B streams or both class A and class B streams. Although other traffic is prioritized below AVB media traffic, the other traffic may be prioritized with respect to itself. All of this creates an acceptable environment for interoperable media to be carried on or through an AVB network as other traffic. The advantages of this approach include:

#### aes67-xxxx-130729-cfc

- No requirement to implement SRP
- No requirement to implement credit-based shaper
- Ability to transmit media data across the AVB network boundary including bridging media between separate AVB network domains
- Works with or without AVB network equipment
- No wasted bandwidth due to mismatch between measurement interval and packet time
- No special sender or receiver behavior is required

#### C.1.2.1 Sender behavior

No special sender behavior is required. On some AVB networks, senders may wish to use an IEEE 802.1Q VLAN tag to convey QoS class. AVB networks are generally geared to Ethernet protocols and may not inspect the DSCP value supplied in the IP header.

The VLAN identifier value depends on the VLANs configured on the network. A value of 0 may be used here to indicate default VLAN membership though this use of a 0 VLAN identifier is not supported on all network equipment. Recommended values for the priority field in the VLAN tag are given in table B.3.

#### Table C.3 - Recommended PCP values for 802.1Q tagged media as "other traffic" through an AVB network

Traffic	РСР
Clock	6
Media	5
Best effort	0

NOTE PCP values 2 and 3 are used for AVB media traffic and will be remapped to 0 at the AVB domain boundary by the switch as per IEEE 802.1Q Clause 6.9.4.

#### C.1.2.2 Receiver behavior

No special receiver behavior is required. Receivers may wish to be prepared to receive media packets with IEEE 802.1Q tags.

### Annex D (Informative) - Interfacing to IEEE 802.1AS clock domains

#### D.0 General

IEEE 802.1AS defines a profile for use of IEEE 1588-2008 on enhanced Ethernet networks, as specified in IEEE 802.1BA-2011. This type of networking is commonly known as Audio Video Bridging (AVB). As outlined in clause 4.3, AVB networks may use their native IEEE 802.1AS synchronization profile in place of the profiles otherwise required in clause 4 (the IEEE 1588 default profiles and the Media profile specified in annex A). In many cases it may be desirable to interface the two profiles to build heterogeneous networks using both techniques.

Under both IEEE 1588-2008 and IEEE 802.1AS, a PTP clock is designated as an ordinary clock (OC), boundary clock (BC) or transparent clock (TC) though 802.1AS transparent clocks also have some boundary clock capabilities. A device may implement one or more of these capabilities. PTP clocks have one or more ports. OCs may have as few as one port. TCs and BCs must have two or more ports. Ports on BCs and OCs have an associated operating state, master or slave. An IEEE 1588 profile is associated with each port of a clock. Due to its transparent nature, a TC is able to simultaneously associate itself with multiple clock domains and profiles.

#### D.1 Boundary clock interface

Typically the same profile is used on all ports of a BC but it is also possible to associate different profiles with different ports. A boundary clock running different profiles on different ports creates an interface between portions of an IEEE 1588 network operating with different profiles. The different profiles that may be used for media networking include the default profiles required by this standard and defined in IEEE 1588-2008 annex J, the Media profile recommended by this standard and defined in annex A and the profile used by IEEE 802.1AS on AVB networks.

When a BC is used to communicate synchronization to different network segments in this way, all network segments participate in the Best Master Clock Algorithm (BMCA) and all segments must use the same version of IEEE 1588 protocol and all must use the same domain identifier. Use of BCs in this manner may be appropriate for connecting a segment running the default profile with a segment running the Media profile. Because IEEE 802.1AS uses an Ethernet-specific BMCA this approach is not appropriate for connecting an IEEE 1588 segment to an IEEE 802.1AS segment.

There are no clock source signaling issues when interconnecting clocks in this manner. The interface through the BC simply creates a larger PTP domain. Synchronization identification (see 8) is the same on both sides of the BC interface.

#### D.2 Ordinary clock interface

A multiport OC can run different profiles on different ports. With the following restrictions, a multiport OC can be used to synchronize multiple segments. An OC can have at most one port in slave mode. Clock distribution must be engineered to assure that an OC is not asked to slave to multiple segments and that the desired overall source for synchronization is selected for all networks.

A multiport OC can therefore be used simultaneously as a grandmaster for multiple PTP networks of different types versions and profiles. The utility of this capability is limited by the requirement to collocate grandmasters and by the fact that there is no clock source signaling defined for this type of interconnection.

#### D.3 Traceable reference

Any of the clock sources supported by *draft-ietf-avtcore-clksrc* can be designated "traceable". Doing so indicates that the clock is synchronized to the TAI global time reference. In theory, this allows any traceable

#### aes67-xxx-130729-cfc

clock to be used in place of any other traceable source. In practice, there is no means provided for signaling the accuracy of this synchronization. While accuracy of synchronization over a PTP network may be controllable, the accuracy between two traceable references is not specified and generally unknown. An important exception is when both references are GPS. GPS is typically accurate to about 100 nanoseconds, an accuracy comparable to that achieved with PTP. PTP networks with a GPS grandmaster can safely be considered to be operating as identical clocks. The **clockClass** information broadcast in *Announce* messages by the grandmaster or available through management messaging gives additional information about the synchronization quality beyond what is available in *draft-ietf-avtcore-clksrc* signaling.

#### D.4 AVB network as a boundary clock

Because of the high fidelity clock distribution within an IEEE 802.1AS clock domain, it is possible to use the entire IEEE 802.1AS domain as a distributed boundary clock for any other PTP domain. Implementation and the theory behind this capability is discussed in detail in a paper by Geoffrey M. Garner, Michel Ouellette and Michael Johas Teener. Although this technique doesn't necessarily synchronize the IEEE 802.1AS domain to any of the PTP domains passing through it, it does demonstrate another technique for integrating AVB technology and thus improving interoperability.

### Annex E (Informative) – Discovery systems

#### E.0 General

Although no discovery service is mandated by this standard, clause 9 specifies that potential connections are identified with a SIP URI which can be learned through a discovery system or other means such as a printed directory or link sent via e-mail.

Developers of this standard are aware of the following discovery systems whose application to this standard is discussed below.

#### E.1 Bonjour

Bonjour is a collection of zero-configuration networking techniques developed by Apple Inc. and published as open documents. In the context of discovery, the relevant Bonjour techniques are multicast DNS (mDNS) described in an RFC 6762 and DNS service discovery (DNS-SD) described in an RFC 6763.

If these techniques are used for discovery, RTP sessions should be advertised by their SIP URI as described in IETF *draft-lee-sip-dns-sd-uri*.

#### E.2 SAP

Use of SAP, or a similar mechanism to distribute SDP descriptions to potential receivers, enables a simplified connection management for multicast streaming (see 10.2).

When SAP is used, SAP version 2 as defined in RFC 2974 should be used. SDP descriptions as defined in 8 should be carried in the payload of SAP messages. Multicast sessions should be announced using destination address as specified in RFC 2974 clause 3; globally scoped multicast sessions are announced using **224.2.127.254** destination address and administratively scoped multicast sessions are announced using the highest address in their scope.

#### E.3 Axia Discovery Protocol

The Axia discovery protocol operates over a system-wide dedicated multicast channel. Every device within the delivery scope for these multicasts and having subscribed to the multicast group, can hear the announcements of all other devices.

All devices periodically generate short presence announcements, and at longer interval, description advertisements. The description advertisements include attributes of the device, as well as a list and attributes of the streams they are able to transmit. When description data is updated, a new advertisement is transmitted without waiting for the next scheduled periodic message. Announcement data allows each participant to build a list of the other participants and streams available on the network, to assist with connection management (10).

#### E.4 Wheatstone WheatnetIP Discovery Protocol

The WheatnetIP discovery protocol operates over a system-wide dedicated IP multicast channel ("announce channel"). Every device within the delivery scope for these multicasts, having subscribed to the multicast group, can hear the announcements of all other devices.

The WheatnetIP protocol uses a *Route Master* elected from within the nodes in the system. Upon entering a WheatnetIP system, a new device issues an entry announcement (*Howdy*) on the announce channel. The Route Master acknowledges this message and initiates a roll call, whereby it asks each device in the system, in turn, to multicast its sources and destinations. The Route Master also assigns each device a range of multicast addresses to use for its output streams. Once the device has collected all of the source and destination information, it becomes active within the system. The Route Master periodically polls each device to ensure that all units listed are still in the system.

Since any device can be a Route Master, and every device contains all of the pertinent information to be a Route Master, loss of the Route Master is seamlessly transitioned to a new Route Master.

aes67-xxxx-130729-cfc

### Annex F (Informative) - Informative references

**AES5-2008**, *AES recommended practice for professional digital audio - Preferred sampling frequencies for applications employing pulse-code modulation*. Audio Engineering Society, New York, NY., US.

**IEEE 802.1BA**, *Audio Video Bridging (AVB) Systems*, Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE), US.

**IEEE 802.1Q-2011**, *Media Access Control (MAC) Bridges and Virtual Bridged Local Area Networks*, Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE), US.

**IEEE 802.1AS**, *Timing and Synchronization for Time-Sensitive Applications in Bridged Local Area Networks*, Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE), US.

**RFC 894** - A Standard for the Transmission of IP Datagrams over Ethernet Networks, Internet Engineering Task Force

RFC 2597, Assured Forwarding PHB Group, Internet Engineering Task Force

RFC 3170, IP Multicast Applications: Challenges and Solutions, Internet Engineering Task Force

EBU Tech 3326, Audio contribution over IP - Requirements for Interoperability, European Broadcasting Union, Geneva, Switzerland

RFC 6762, *Multicast DNS*, Internet Engineering Task Force

RFC 6763, DNS-Based Service Discovery, Internet Engineering Task Force

**draft-lee-sip-dns-sd-uri**, *SIP URI Service Discovery using DNS-SD*, Internet Engineering Task Force. http://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-lee-sip-dns-sd-uri/

Geoffrey M. Garner, Michel Ouellette and Michael Johas Teener (2012-09-27). "Using an IEEE 802.1AS Network as a Distributed IEEE 1588 Boundary, Ordinary, or Transparent Clock". 2010 International IEEE Symposium on Precision Clock Synchronization for Measurement Control and Communication (ISPCS) (IEEE).

### Annex G (Informative) - Glossary

#### G.1

#### Bonjour

Bonjour is Apple's implementation of Zero configuration networking (Zeroconf), a group of technologies that includes service discovery, address assignment, and hostname resolution.

#### G.2

### Domain Name System

#### DNS

a hierarchical distributed naming system for computers, services, or any resource connected to the Internet or a private network. See RFC 2474

### G.3 DNS Service Discovery

#### DNS-SD

a way of using standard DNS programming interfaces, servers, and packet formats to browse the network for services. It is one of the mechanisms used by Bonjour. Source: http://www.dns-sd.org/

#### **G.4**

#### **Expedited Forwarding**

One of the classifications used by DiffServ with the characteristics of low delay, low loss and low jitter. These characteristics are suitable for voice, video and other real-time services. EF traffic is often given strict priority queuing above all other traffic classes.

#### G.5

#### Local-area network

#### LAN

a computer network that interconnects computers in a limited area such as a home, school, computer laboratory, or office building.

#### G.6

#### Multicast DNS

#### mDNS

a way of using the Domain Name System (DNS) programming interfaces and packet formats, without configuring a conventional DNS server. It is one of the mechanisms used by Bonjour.

#### **G.7**

#### **Ordinary Clock**

A clock that has a single Precision Time Protocol (PTP) port in a domain and maintains the timescale used in the domain. It may serve as a source of time, that is, be a master clock; or may synchronize to another clock, that is, be a slave clock. Source: IEEE 1588-2008.

#### **G.8**

### Session Announcement Protocol SAP

an experimental protocol for announcing RTP sessions. SAP is defined in RFC 2974.

#### G.9

### Stream reservation protocol

SRP

the AVB admission control protocol defined in IEEE 802.1Q-2011 clause 35.

### **AES STANDARDS: - DRAFT FOR COMMENT ONLY**

#### G.10 UTF-8

A popular variable-length character encoding scheme that supports international character sets but is also backwards compatible with ASCII. UTF-8 is defined in RFC 3629.

#### G.11 Voice over IP

#### VoIP

the communication protocols, technologies, methodologies, and transmission techniques involved in the delivery of voice communications and multimedia sessions over Internet Protocol (IP) networks, such as the Internet.